

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,626	11/17/2000	Wolfgang Hultsch	0112740-113	8129
29177 7:	590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			DUONG, DUC T	
P. O. BOX 113	5			
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2663	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/700,626	HULTSCH, WOLFG	ANG			
7.120.00. 7 7.100.01.	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Duc T. Duong	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		1			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) 🔲 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly _.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 12 and 13.		•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-11 and 14-16</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by tl	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	SUPERVISORY PATE				
		TECHNOLOGY CE	191CN 2000			
,						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding to Applicant's argument with respect to claims 9-16 on pages 4-5, Yoshida fails to teach "a receiving unit for receiving both useful data and filling data with a constant data rate via a circuit-switched connection of a first communication network". In Fig. 10 col. 10 lines 15-24, Yoshida discloses a data formatter 44 includes a receive data adapter 52 for receiving data and a receive speed controller 51 for receiving filling data. Now, with reference to Fig. 5 col. 6 lines 18-24, herein Yoshida discloses a transceiver unit 29 for receiving modulate carrier signals through which will feeds to the data formatter 44. Thus, the transceiver unit 29 implied of receiving modulate carrier signals having both useful data and filling data that will feeds to the data formatter 44. Regarding to Applicant's assertion the half rate or full rate in not a variable rate is traversed. In Fig 10 col. 11 lines 5-7, Yoshida discloses the data formatter 44 for reformatting the useful data with the constant rate (ISDN) to a rate varyin of either half rate or full rate. In interpreting the claims, the claims does not require the channels be of a variable rate. So even though the channels is of a constant rate, the combination of different channels together will forms either half rate or full rate. Thus, Yohsida indeed discloses of a variable rate of either half rate or full rate. Based on the reasons set forth the rejections are maintained.